

Town of Laurel Park Planning Board

Date of Meeting: April 9, 2024 Time of Meeting: 3:00 pm Location: Town Hall, 441 White Pine Drive, Laurel Park NC 28739

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Approval of the Agenda
- 3. Approval of the Minutes
 - a. February 13, 2024
- 4. New Business
 - a. Preliminary Site Plan Review
 - i. 6 Tudor Lane
 - b. Planning and Zoning Regional Board Workshop by UNC School of Government
 - i. Asheville Wednesday, May 1st 1:00-4:30 PM
- 5. Adjourn



TOWN OF LAUREL PARK AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Title of Item: Preliminary Site Plan Review – 6 Tudor Lane

Presenter: Kaitland Finkle, Interim Zoning Administrator

Attachment(s): <a>Yes/No

- Staff Report
- Plans

Summary of Item:

Applicant Daniel Hayes of DMH Builders Inc. is planning to build a single-family residential dwelling located at 6 Tudor Lane. This lot is located within the ETJ R-30 zoning district, composed of .72 acre, and has an estimated slope of 17%.

Suggested Action: Staff requests Planning Board review the attachments and review criteria.

Suggested Motion: Move to approve/deny the site plan as presented.



Laurel Park, NC 28739

www.laurelpark.org

Subject: Staff Report – 6 Tudor Lane Date: April 9, 2024, at 3 p.m. Location: Laurel Park Town Hall – 441 White Pine Dr.

Daniel Hayes of DMH Builders Inc. (applicant) is proposing to build a single-family detached dwelling located at 6 Tudor Lane Hendersonville, NC 28739. The parcel is identified on the Henderson County Geographic Information System (GIS) as property identification number (PIN# 9548459747). This property is in the ETJ R-30 zoning district. The estimated acreage is .72 acre, and the slope of the property is estimated at 17% which is considered a steep slope.

The Laurel Park Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) section 2.5.3: Dimensional Standards for the R-30 zone indicates that lots with steep slopes (15% to 25%) require a minimum street setback of 40 feet, however in accordance with note 6, this may be reduced to 25 feet to minimize erosion, sedimentation, or land disturbance and is measured from the existing private street pavement edge in accordance with UDO 2.4.5. With the decrease in street setback, the minimum rear setback increases from 35 feet to 50 feet. The side setback remains at the 35 feet minimum.

The applicant has consulted with Peak Hydrogeologic, PLLC (Peak HG) who conducted a predevelopment investigation. The predevelopment investigation suggests that the site is suitable for development and that dispersal of stormwater runoff is viable for this lot. This report has been reviewed and approved by the Town Engineer. Peak HG further indicates that the setback reduction is necessary to develop in the existing flat area (<15% slope) in the southwestern corner of the property nearest the street. To minimize stormwater infiltration at the top of the slope, a geosynthetic clay liner will be installed in the rain garden.

Respectfully,

Kaitland Finkle, CZO Interim Zoning Administrator Town of Laurel Park



Town of Laurel Park • 441 White Pine Drive • Laurel Park, NC 28739 • P. 828-693-4840 • F. 828-696-4948 **APPLICATION PAGE 1 OF 6**

APPLICATION LAST UPDATED: 9.1.2021

1. THINGS TO KNOW ABOUT THE ZONING COMPLIANCE PERMIT PROCEDURE

- 1. The zoning compliance permit review procedure is described in Section 6.3.22 of the Laurel Park Unified Development Ordinance.
- 2. A zoning compliance permit is issued prior to or along with a building permit for most forms of development, including single-family homes.
- 3. Henderson County will not issue a building permit for development that does not have an approved zoning compliance permit.
- 4. Zoning compliance permits are required for decks, patios, fences, walls, signs, temporary uses, and open-air uses that don't require a building permit.
- 5. Lots with steep or very steep slopes require pre-development investigation report and must provide a stormwater management plan prepared by a licensed professional.
- 6. Most forms of development, including new single-family homes, must provide perimeter landscaping buffers, streetscape landscaping, and site landscaping as described in Chapter 7 of the UDO.
- 7. Tree retention or replacement as necessary is required to ensure 25% of the lot or site is covered by tree canopy in accordance with Chapter 3 of the UDO.
- Additional development on a site with an existing building may require the site to be brought into partial or 8. full compliance with all UDO requirements as described in Section 5.6, Nonconforming Sites.

2. GENERAL APPLICANT INFORMATION

A. Parcel Information

- 1. Street Address: lo Tupor LANE HENDERSONVILLE NC
- -45-9747 2. Parcel Identification Number:
- .72 Acres Lot Area/Acreage: -30
- ETJ R-30 County 4. Zoning District Classification:
- 5. Overlay Zoning District(s) (if applicable): I and Park HENDErson
- Vacant □ Developed □ Other (e.g., vacant building) 6. Current Use of the Lot or Site:

If "Other", please explain current use:

If "Developed", please identify the current use of the lot or site (attach additional sheets if necessary):

7. Please identify any prior approvals from the Town of Laurel Park (like a variance, special use permit, or site plan) associated with this development (if any) and the approximate date of the approval (attach additional sheets if necessary):

B. Primary Point of Contact Information

- DMH Builders INC ... Daniel Hayes 1. Primary Point of Contact Name:
- 10 5. OAK Forest LN, Ashaulle NC 28903 Mailing Address:
- 828 551 5098 3. Phone:
- amhbuildersinc@gmoil.com 4. Email:
- 5. Fax:
- Builder / Juthonized Person 6. Relationship to Landowner:



Town of Laurel Park + 441 White Pine Drive + Laurel Park, NC 28739 + P. 828-693-4840 + F. 828-696-4948 **APPLICATION PAGE 2 OF 6**

10

APPLICATION LAST UPDATED: 9.1.2021

	Landscaping Requirements
th	e Town's zoning may be reviewed on the County's Online GIS/Mapping page at s://www.hendersoncountync.gov/gis/page/welcome-gomaps-henderson-countys-online-gis-mapping-system)
	a. What is the zoning of the lot to the north? If there is a street bordering the lot to the north, please list its name: $County/Luse/Park - NO$
	b. What is the zoning of the lot to the south? If there is a street bordering the lot to the south, please list its name: Twoor LANE ETS R3D
	c. What is the zoning of the lot to the east? If there is a street bordering the lot the east, please list its name:
	d. What is the zoning of the lot to the west? If there is a street bordering the lot to the west, please list its name: $SAME$ FTD R3D No struct
2.	Tree Canopy Cover
	a. Approximate amount of the lot or site covered by tree canopy at the time of this application:
	☑ More than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Less than 25% covered by tree canopy
	b. Does the proposed development include tree removal?
	c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete?
	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? More than 25% covered by tree canopy Less than 25% covered by tree canopy
The	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? More than 25% covered by tree canopy Less than 25% covered by tree canopy Town may require an applicant to provide an aerial photo, tree survey, or other evidence documenting the pount of tree cover in place at the time of this application.
The am	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? More than 25% covered by tree canopy I Less than 25% covered by tree canopy a Town may require an applicant to provide an aerial photo, tree survey, or other evidence documenting the pount of tree cover in place at the time of this application. Geologic Hazards, Steep Slopes, or Very Steep Slopes
The am 3.	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? More than 25% covered by tree canopy Less than 25% covered by tree canopy Town may require an applicant to provide an aerial photo, tree survey, or other evidence documenting the bunt of tree cover in place at the time of this application. Geologic Hazards, Steep Slopes, or Very Steep Slopes a. Does the lot or site include any geologic hazards or steep slopes? If Yes
The am 3.	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? More than 25% covered by tree canopy Less than 25% covered by tree canopy Town may require an applicant to provide an aerial photo, tree survey, or other evidence documenting the bount of tree cover in place at the time of this application. Geologic Hazards, Steep Slopes, or Very Steep Slopes a. Does the lot or site include any geologic hazards or steep slopes? b. If yes, what is the slope of the steepest part of the site? (see UDO Sec. 10.2.9 for how to determine slope)
The am 3.	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? ☑ More than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Less than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Don't know b. If yes, what is the slope of the steepest part of the site? (see UDO Sec. 10.2.9 for how to determine slope) □ 15% or less ☑ 15% to 25% ☑ More than 25% ☑ More than 25% ☑ Less 177% ☑ 10/225.¹⁰ ☑ More than 25%
The am 3.	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? ☑ More than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Less than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Don't know b. If yes, what is the slope of the steepest part of the site? (see UDO Sec. 10.2.9 for how to determine slope) □ 15% or less ☑ 15% to 25% ☑ More than 25% ☑ 15% to 25% ☑ More than 25% ☑ 15% to 25%
The am 3.	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? ☑ More than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Less than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Don't know □ Less the lot or site include any geologic hazards or steep slopes? □ Yes □ Don't know b. If yes, what is the slope of the steepest part of the site? (see UDO Sec. 10.2.9 for how to determine slope) □ 15% or less □ 15% to 25% ○ More than 25% ○ 100 1 225 . 100 1 225 . 100 1 225 . 100 1 225 . 100 1 225 . 100 1 225 . 100 1 225 . 100 1 225 . 100 1 200 1 225 . 100 1 200 1 2 2 5 . 100 1 2 2 5 .
Theam 3.	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? ✓ More than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Less than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Less than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Town may require an applicant to provide an aerial photo, tree survey, or other evidence documenting the bount of tree cover in place at the time of this application. Geologic Hazards, Steep Slopes, or Very Steep Slopes a. Does the lot or site include any geologic hazards or steep slopes? ○ Yes ○ Don't know b. If yes, how will these services be provided? ○ Yes ○ No b. If yes, how will these services be provided?
Theam 3.	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? ✓ More than 25% covered by tree canopy □ Less than 25% covered by tree canopy Town may require an applicant to provide an aerial photo, tree survey, or other evidence documenting the bount of tree cover in place at the time of this application. Geologic Hazards, Steep Slopes, or Very Steep Slopes a. Does the lot or site include any geologic hazards or steep slopes? ✓ Yes ✓ In Don't know b. If yes, how will these services be provided? ✓ Yes ✓ In Don't know b. If yes, how will these services be provided? ✓ On-site well septic system ✓ Don't know
The am 3.	 c. If tree removal is proposed as part of this development, how much of the lot or site will be covered by tree canopy after development is complete? ✓ More than 25% covered by tree canopy Covered by tree canopy Less than 25% covered by tree canopy Town may require an applicant to provide an aerial photo, tree survey, or other evidence documenting the bount of tree cover in place at the time of this application. Geologic Hazards, Steep Slopes, or Very Steep Slopes a. Does the lot or site include any geologic hazards or steep slopes? ✓ Yes ✓ In Don't know b. If yes, what is the slope of the steepest part of the site? (see UDO Sec. 10.2.9 for how to determine slope) ✓ 15% or less ✓ 15% to 25% ✓ More than 25% ✓ In <i>Less Less </i>



 Town of Laurel Park • 441 White Pine Drive • Laurel Park, NC 28739 • P. 828-693-4840 • F. 828-696-4948

 APPLICATION PAGE 4 OF 6

 APPLICATION LAST UPDATED: 9.1.2021

Please Complete this Portion if you are Proposing a Temporary Use or Structure							
If this zoning compliance permit is associated with a temporary use or structure, please provide the following details:							
a. Please provide a description of the temporary use or structure (attach additional sheets if needed):							
b. Anticipated Date of Setup:							
c. Anticipated Date of Commence	ment:						
d. Anticipated Date of Cessation:	d. Anticipated Date of Cessation:						
e. Anticipated Date of Removal ar	nd Site Restoration:						
f Duration (in days) from Setup (intil Removal:						
N/III to margan signage he had							
g, will temporary signage be inclu							
myes, please identity the sign	s general location.						
h. Has this temporary use or strue	cture been established on this lot or si	te already this year?					
🗆 Yes 🛛 No	Don't Know						
If yes, from when to when?							
A							
a. Please identify the type of sign	n proposed (check all that apply)						
a. Please identify the type of sign	n proposed (check all that apply)	Marquee Sign					
a. Please identify the type of sign U Wall Sign Awning Sign	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign 	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign 					
a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign 					
a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign Post & Arm Sign	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign Incidental Sign	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign Canopy Sign 					
a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign Post & Arm Sign A-Frame Sign	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign Incidental Sign Subdivision Sign	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign Canopy Sign Temporary Sign 					
 a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign Post & Arm Sign A-Frame Sign b. Is the proposed signage: 	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign Incidental Sign Subdivision Sign New Replacement If replace signage	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign Canopy Sign Temporary Sign ment, please attach photos of to be replaced 					
 a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign Post & Arm Sign A-Frame Sign b. Is the proposed signage: I c. Is the structure supporting the 	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign Incidental Sign Subdivision Sign New Replacement If replace signage: New Existing	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign Canopy Sign Temporary Sign ment, please attach photos of to be replaced Nonconforming supports may require replacement 					
 a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign Post & Arm Sign A-Frame Sign b. Is the proposed signage: I c. Is the structure supporting the d. Please attach detailed drawing 	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign Incidental Sign Subdivision Sign New Replacement If replace signage: New Existing	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign Canopy Sign Temporary Sign ment, please attach photos of to be replaced Nonconforming supports may require replacement wing for each type of sign proposed 					
 a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign Post & Arm Sign A-Frame Sign b. Is the proposed signage: I c. Is the structure supporting the d. Please attach detailed drawing The number of signs on the 	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign Incidental Sign Subdivision Sign New Replacement If replace signage: New Replacement If replace signage Sig	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign Canopy Sign Temporary Sign Temporary Sign ement, please attach photos of to be replaced Nonconforming supports may require replacement wing for each type of sign proposed 					
 a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign Post & Arm Sign A-Frame Sign b. Is the proposed signage: I c. Is the structure supporting the d. Please attach detailed drawing The number of signs on The proposed sign face at a second second	n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign Incidental Sign Subdivision Sign New Replacement If replace signage: New Replacement If replace signage Subdivision describing the follow the site area in square feet (including if the signed)	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign Canopy Sign Temporary Sign Temporary Sign ement, please attach photos of to be replaced Nonconforming supports may require replacement wing for each type of sign proposed in is single-sided or 2-sided) 					
 a. Please identify the type of sign Wall Sign Awning Sign Monument Sign Post & Arm Sign A-Frame Sign b. Is the proposed signage: I c. Is the structure supporting the d. Please attach detailed drawing The number of signs on The proposed sign face a The copy height in inche 	 n proposed (check all that apply) Parapet Sign Projecting/Suspended Sign Window/Door Sign Incidental Sign Subdivision Sign New Replacement If replace signage: Signage: New Existing Sand information describing the following the site site site site site site site sit	 Marquee Sign Electronic Display Sign Pylon Sign Canopy Sign Temporary Sign Temporary Sign ement, please attach photos of to be replaced Nonconforming supports may require replacement wing for each type of sign proposed In is single-sided or 2-sided) 					

Town of Laurel Park + 441 White Pine Drive	• Laurel Park, NC 28739 • P. 828-693-4840 • F.	. 828-696-4948			
APPLICATION PAGE 3 OF 6	APPLICATION L	AST UPDATED: 9.1.2021			
4. DESCRIPTION OF THIS F	REQUEST				
(Please complete the following)					
1. Please identify the type of deve application. Please select all th	elopment proposed that is the subject nat apply:	of this zoning compliance permit			
Principal Structure	□ Accessory Structure	□ Sign			
Temporary Structure	Temporary Use	□ Fence/Wall			
Other	If "Other" please describe below (a	attach additional sheets if necessary)			
 All applicants for a zoning comp following items: Lot lines 	pliance permit must attach a site sketo	ch or plot plan that identifies each of the			
 Principal structures, inc 	cluding driveways				
Accessory structures (g	garages, sneus, play structures, etc.)	al as another a church mar			
Additions, expansions,	or other alterations to existing princip	ar or accessory structures.			
Open-air uses of land t	hat do not have structures				
Site teatures like stream	ms, lakes, ponds, wetlands, rock outer	rops, and similar aspects			
 Required setbacks 					
 Encroachments of structure 	ctures into setbacks (if proposed)				
Potable water wells, se	eptic tanks, septic drain fields/lines, an	id reserve or back up drain field location			
Tree save areas or area	as where existing tree canopy will be i	maintained during and after developmer			
Required landscaping for landscaping, etc.)	eatures (perimeter buffers, streetscap	be buffers, site landscaping, parking lot			
 Any other features ider demonstrate compliance 	ntified by the applicant or required by ce with the applicable requirements	the Town Manager in order to			
Site sketches or plot plans do not n dimensions and distances if not dra	need to be professionally prepared or o awn to scale.	drawn to scale but should include verifie			
In cases where proposed developm provides the details listed above, ap in order to comply with these require	nent is subject to an approved site plan pplications materials used for the prior rements.	n or other development approval that r approval may be substituted or modifie			
3. Please Complete this Portion if	you are Proposing a New Single-Fai	mily Home			
If this zoning compliance permi the Town's single-family resider plat that created the lot), then the structure will comply with t	it application is associated with a new ntial design guidelines (this informatio this application must include elevation he standards in Section 7.1 of the UD	single-family home in an area subject to on should be identified on the subdivision ns, plans, or other details that shows how O.			
Please Complete this Portion if you are Proposing a New Accessory Use or Structure					
If this zoning compliance permi following details:	It is associated with a new accessory l	use or structure, please provide the			
a. Size of principal structure (s	square feet):				
b. Size of accessory structure	(square feet):				
	Service States and the service of th				

OWNO

Town of Laurel Park • 441 White Pine Drive • Laurel Park, NC 28739 • P. 828-693-4840 • F. 828-696-4948
APPLICATION PAGE 5 OF 6
APPLICATION LAST UPDATED: 9.1.2021





Town of Laurel Park • 441 White Pine Drive • Laurel Park, NC 28739 • P. 828-693-4840 • F. 828-696-4948 **APPLICATION PAGE 6 OF 6**

APPLICATION LAST UPDATED: 9.1.2021

6. APPLICANT SIGNATURE

I certify that the information provided in these application materials is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I hereby authorize Town officials to enter the subject property for the purposes of determining compliance.

If there are multiple land owners or applicants, signature is required for each. 11 .

Land Owner or Authorized Signature:	9/10/23 DMH	Builders INC
Land Owner or Authorized Signature:		
Date:		

Land Owner or Authorized Signature:

Date:

OFFICE USE ONLY
Project #:
Associated Project #:
Received By: Kaitland Finkle
Filing Date: 2/7/24
Accepted as Complete By:
Complete Date:
Decision:
Decision By:
Decision Date:
Pre-application Conference Date (if conducted): 2/7/24
Notes/Comments:





6 Tudor Lane

anney/ Rumett Sill tener Tubor Lawe 3000 56ft of House Pad & Driveway Disturbed Leaving Existing trees & foiloge for Bufforsk Lawosome plaw/steplan

02/23/2020





6 Tudor Lane



02/23/2020



VIA EMAIL

March 2, 2024

Mr. Danny Hayes DMH Builders, Inc. dmhbuildersinc@gmail.com

Re: Laurel Park Pre-Development Investigation Tudor Lane, Lot 6, Hendersonville, NC 28739 Peak Hydrogeologic, PLLC Project No. 453-24

Dear Mr. Hayes,

Peak Hydrogeologic, PLLC (Peak HG) is pleased to provide you with this letter report for the Laurel Park Pre-Development Investigation that was performed by a Professional Geologist (NC LG #2515). Peak HG is a NC-licensed geological consulting firm (#C-543) that maintains \$2M in commercial, professional, and pollution-liability insurance coverages. The report below documents Peak HG's understanding, scope of services, investigative results, and conclusions/recommendations.

I. PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

This project involves the construction of a single-family residential home, driveway, and stormwater dispersal rain garden at Tudor Lane, Lot 6 (Henderson Co. PIN 9548-45-9747) in Hendersonville, NC, 28739.

Because "Very Steep Slopes" exist on portions of the property, the Laurel Park Unified Development Ordinance ("UDO", last amended 8.15.23) Environmental Section 3.1.5.A requires a NC-Licensed Geologist to perform a pre-development investigation to characterize geologic hazards at the site and determine if either stormwater dispersal (3.1.5.C) or retention (3.1.5.D) is necessary to manage stormwater runoff from the proposed development. Pertinent sections of the UDO are below:

"Very Steep Slope- Lots or tracts having slopes in excess of 25 percent, with or without geologic hazards present"

"The standards in this section shall apply to all lots or tracts with geologic hazards present as well as to lots or tracts with steep or very steep slopes on any portion of the lot or tract, whether such slopes existed prior to or after land- disturbing activity or grading."

"Whenever new development is proposed which involves land disturbing activity on land subject to these standards, or if geological hazard indicators are observed on the land which will be disturbed by the development, the applicant shall provide investigation(s) documents prepared by a North Carolina licensed geologist or professional engineer licensed by the State, as appropriate, as part of the development application materials."

"Investigation documents shall include an analysis and conclusion about the likelihood of landslide hazards or soil instability because of utilization of typical stormwater dispersal measures such as disconnected downspouts, level spreaders, or similar techniques for disbursing stormwater across a development site."

"Applications for development proposed on lands with very steep slopes must be accompanied by a site-specific geologic analysis of the very steep slope portion of the site to be disturbed by the proposed development plan, paid for by the applicant, and conducted by a North Carolina licensed geologist, to determine whether that plan can be developed on the site without jeopardizing slope stability on the site itself or on properties surrounding the site."

II. SCOPE OF SERVICES

To satisfy the Laurel Park UDO requirements for performance of a pre-development investigation, Peak HG has performed the following:

- Desktop literature review of site development plans, Henderson County GIS property data, topographic maps, geologic maps, soil maps, and LiDAR terrain data to characterize the site hydrogeological setting and potential for landslide hazards and soil instability. Online resources reviewed for the property include the Henderson County GIS, the USDA Web Soil Survey, the USGS National Geologic Mapping Database, and the NC Spatial Data Downloads LiDAR data.
- Field reconnaissance to evaluate the site setting, including slope steepness, existing vegetative cover, and soil characteristics at/near the proposed area for a home, driveway, and rain garden. Soils were evaluated at three borehole locations along a linear transect on the hillside at and downhill from the proposed development area. Boreholes were installed with a 3.2-inch diameter hand-auger down to auger refusal on bedrock or to 13-feet below ground surface (whichever came first). Soil cuttings were logged in field notes to document changes in lithology, degree of saturation, and depth to bedrock. Borehole XYZ locations along the transect were recorded with a hand-held GPS and photographed.
- Reporting that includes documentation of investigative methods, results of the desktop literature review, results of the field reconnaissance, and conclusions about the likelihood for landslides and soil instability from the proposed development.

III. RESULTS

Based on a review of site plans (Quible and Associates P23137, January 2024), development is proposed in the existing flat area (<15% slope) in the southwestern corner of the property, and it will include disturbance of approximately 0.19-acres of the 0.88-acre lot as needed to construct a house, driveway, and stormwater dispersal rain garden. According to the plans, stormwater runoff from the roof of the home will be directed to a rain garden. To avoid stormwater infiltration at the top of the slope, Peak HG understands a geosynthetic clay liner will be installed under bio media and plantings in the rain garden. Based on Peak HG's review

of the site plans, it appears that water loss from the rain garden will consist of evaporation, transpiration by plantings, and non-erosive overflow (beyond the first 1.19 inches of stormwater runoff) across an approximately 55-ft long weir (level spreader) at the edge of the rain garden.

Based on a review of Henderson County GIS data (https://henderson.roktech.net/gomaps4; accessed February 23, 2023) for the subject property, topographic relief at the site ranges a total of approximately 46-ft, from a topographic maximum elevation of approximately 2,322-ft amsl at the southwestern property extent (at proposed driveway access off of Tudor Ln.) to a minimum elevation of approximately 2,276-ft amsl at the northeastern property extent (at a man-made pond). According to Henderson County GIS data, the slope of the site in the proposed development area (southwestern portion of the property) is mostly flat (0-15% slope). To the northeast and downhill of the proposed development area, the slope steepness increases from 15-25% to 25-60%, and there is a very small portion in the northeastern corner of the property at a road cut where slopes are 60% or greater.

The USDA Web Soil Survey (https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov; accessed February 23, 2023) Soil Map for the property indicates that soils at the site consist of Delanco (Dillard) loam ("DeB" Unit). The DeB Unit is described as loam, clay loam, and sandy loam soils that are well drained. Depth to restrictive feature is >80-inches, the soils are assigned to hydrologic soil group C, and there is no hydric soil rating. The capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat) in the DeB is moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr). DeB is composed of alluvium and colluvium derived from weathered igneous and metamorphic bedrock.

The Bedrock Geologic Map of the Horse Shoe 7.5-minute Quadrangle, Henderson and Transylvania Counties, North Carolina (Cattanach, B.L., and Merschat, C. E., 2009, North Carolina Geological Survey, Open-File Report 2009-04, 1:24,000 scale) indicates that bedrock at the property and surrounding areas consists of metamorphic rock of the Henderson Augen Gneiss. The geologic map indicates the presence of several intersecting joint sets measured in bedrock outcrops near the property, however, no joints nor faults are mapped at the subject property.

A hydrogeologist with Peak HG met with the builder and site owner on February 23, 2024, to discuss the proposed development plan and to walk the undeveloped/forested property and proposed layout for the home and rain garden. After the meeting, Peak HG evaluated the presence and/or potential for development of geologic hazards from mass wasting (landslides) at the site. Note that the field reconnaissance was performed immediately following a light rain shower. Due to the proposed development area's location near the summit of a hill on the north side of Tudor Ln. (Figure 1), Peak HG observed that the proposed development area does not receive appreciable quantities of stormwater runoff from upgradient properties to the south, across Tudor Ln.

The site map (Figure 1) includes map overlays of property lines and previously mapped landslides (Henderson County GIS data) and NC 1-meter LiDAR terrain imagery (NCEM SDD) to better identify areas of bedrock deformation and known or potential mass wasting locations near the site. While a potential debris flow pathway is mapped by the NC Geologic Survey on properties to the northeast of the subject property, there are no landslide features

mapped at the subject property, nor on any adjoining properties. At the subject property, neither the LiDAR imagery nor the field reconnaissance indicated the presence of bedrock outcrops, boulder/debris fields, slump, scarps, zones of brittle bedrock deformation (as indicated by topographic lineaments and hummocky terrain), nor other evidence of geologic hazard indicators at the property.

Figure 1 also shows the proposed development area and borehole locations along a 75-ft linear transect of the hillside at and below the proposed rain garden. Results of the borehole characterization are detailed in the table below, and photographs are included as an attachment to this report.

Borehole ID	Position along hillside transect	Borehole depth	Borehole description
B1	0-ft	13-ft (down to maximum possible auger depth)	Located within rain garden and approximately 25 east from western property boundary. Reddish brown poorly structured SiCL 0-3ft (moist to 6-in bgs from recent rain). Moderately structured dry grayish brown SiCL at 3-5.5 ft. Well structured damp reddish brown SSi 5.5-7 ft. Well structured, mottled, moist, and resistant saprolite block at 7-7.5 ft bgs (trace gravel). Well structured damp reddish brown SSiCL 7.5-10 ft bgs and roots observed to 10 ft bgs. Well structured damp mottled grayish brown SSiC saprolite 10-13 ft bgs (max auger depth). No auger refusal, no bedrock, no saturated soil conditions, and no measurable water in borehole approximately 4 hours after borehole installation.
B2	30-ft	10-ft (down to auger refusal on competent saprolite)	Located below proposed rain garden level spreader and approximately 30 east of B1. Reddish brown poorly structured SiCL 0-2.5ft (moist to 6-in bgs from recent rain). Well structured (damp at 4.5-5.5 ft) grayish brown SiCL at 2.5-5.5 ft bgs. Well structured damp reddish brown SSi 5.5-7 ft. Well structured, mottled, moist, and resistant saprolite block at 7-7.25 ft (trace gravel). Well structured damp reddish brown SSiCL 7.25-8.25 ft. Well structured damp mottled grayish brown SSiC saprolite 8.25-10.25 ft. Auger refusal on competent saprolite at 10.25 ft. No saturated soils.
В3	75-ft	10-ft (down to auger refusal on competent saprolite)	Located 75 ft northeast of B1 and downgradient from the proposed rain garden. R. brown SiCL 0-4ft. Brownish gray structured 4-6.25 ft. Well structured, moist, and resistant saprolite block at 6.25-6.75 ft (trace gravel). Well structured damp mottled grayish brown SSiC saprolite 6.75-9.9 ft. Auger refusal on competent saprolite at 10 ft bgs. No saturated soils conditions

Based on the results of the soils characterization, soils at and topographically down-gradient from the proposed development area are predominantly well drained, sandy silty clay loams. Soils near the flat area at the top of the hill (where the rain garden is proposed) are at least 13-ft thick. Moving down hill to at least 75-ft northeast of the rain garden (and below where stormwater runoff will be directed across the rain garden's 55-ft long overflow weir), soils are at least 10-ft thick and underlain by saprolite (weathered bedrock). No significant aquitards (low permeability layers) nor perched aquifer conditions (shallow water table and saturated soils) were observed in any of the boreholes despite the occurrence of rain before the field reconnaissance.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In accordance with requirements posed by the Laurel Park Unified Development Ordinance Environmental Section 3.1.5, a NC-licensed Professional Geologist with Peak HG has performed a Pre-Development Investigation of the proposed residential property at Lot 6 on Tudor Lane in Hendersonville, NC (Henderson County PIN 9548-45-9747). The work was performed to characterize geologic hazard indicators at the site and determine if either stormwater dispersal or retention is necessary to manage stormwater runoff from the proposed development. As detailed in site plans and engineering calculations (Quible and Associates P23137, January 2024), a single family home, driveway, and stormwater dispersal rain garden are proposed in the mostly flat area (<15% slopes) in the southwestern portion of the property.

As detailed in the preceding section, Peak HG has performed a desktop literature review and field reconnaissance. A site map is provided as Figure 1, and photographs and other supporting documentation from the literature review are provided as attachments to this report. Based on Peak HG's review: 1- no landslide features are mapped at the property; 2no intersecting bedrock joint sets are mapped at the property; 3- the proposed development area does not receive appreciable quantities of stormwater runoff from near by up-gradient properties; 4- neither the LiDAR imagery nor the field reconnaissance indicated the presence of bedrock outcrops, boulder/debris fields, slump, scarps, zones of brittle bedrock deformation (as indicated by topographic lineaments and hummocky terrain), nor other evidence of geologic hazard indicators at the property; 5- based on the results of soils characterization along a 75-ft linear transect of the hillside at and below the proposed rain garden, soils at and topographically down-gradient from the proposed rain garden are predominantly well-drained, sandy silty clay loams; 6- soils near the flat area at the top of the hill (where the rain garden is proposed) are at least 13-ft thick, and moving down hill to at least 75-ft east of the rain garden (and below where stormwater runoff will be directed across the rain garden's 55-ft long overflow weir/level spreader), soils are at least 10-ft thick and underlain by saprolite (weathered bedrock); 7- no significant aquitards (low permeability layers) nor perched aquifer conditions (shallow water table and saturated soils) were observed in any of the boreholes despite the occurrence of rain before the field reconnaissance.

Based on the results of the investigation, Peak HG concludes that there is not a significant likelihood that landslide hazards nor soil instability will develop as a result of the proposed home, driveway, and stormwater dispersal rain garden that are proposed in engineer's site plans (Quible and Associates P23137, January 2024).

V. LIMITATIONS

While every effort was made for this site evaluation to provide representative site information as needed to evaluate landslide hazards and slope instability; it is not within the scope of this project to document all conditions that may alter the conclusions and recommendations provided in this report. As such, the results of this investigation are applicable as of the date of the investigation and only under the limited parameters of the investigation. Peak HG makes no guarantees about unknown or future site conditions. Any deviations from the proposed development plan, which were reviewed as part of this investigation, may alter or void the conclusions presented above.

March 2, 2024

VI. CLOSING

Peak Hydrogeologic, PLLC sincerely appreciates the opportunity to provide you with this report. Please contact me at (828) 817-5209 or by email at jgerst@peakhydrogeologic.com if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Peak Hydrogeologic, PLLC (NC C-543 Geology) Environmental & Water Resources Consulting

Jonathan D. Gerst, MS, PG (NC #2515 / SC #2644) Principal Hydrogeologist



Enclosures:

Figure 1- Site Map & Borehole Locations Field Reconnaissance Photographs Screen Shots of Supporting Documentation





Image 1- Proposed development area within flat, southwestern portion of the property.



Image 2- No development proposed at/near the very steep slope portion (road cut) in the northeastern portion of the property.



Image 3- Borehole B1 location within proposed rain garden area and beside western property boundary.

Image 4- Borehole B2 location approximately 30-ft northeast and downhill of borehole B1.



Image 5- Borehole B3 location approximately 75-ft northeast and downhill of borehole B1.



Image 7- Example 1 of silty clay loam.



Image 6- Tools (extendable hand auger and utility probe) used to excavate soils for borehole characterization.



Image 8- Example 2 of silty clay loam.





Henderson GIS Parcels & NCGS Potential Landslides.png

LiDAR overview.png





LiDAR site.png

Soil Map.png



Soil description.png

Geologic Map.png



Geologic map legend.png



Laurel Park Stormwater Management Report

Tudor Lane, Lot 6 Hendersonville NC, 28739

Prepared For:

DMH Builders 10 South Oak Forrest Dr. Asheville, NC 28803

Prepared By:

Quible & Associates, P.C. Engineering · Environmental Sciences · Surveying · Planning 90 Church Street, Suite B Black Mountain, North Carolina 28711 (828) 280-8375 Quible.com

> Project Number P23137 January 11, 2024

Quible

Quible & Associates, P.C. ENGINEERING • ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES • PLANNING • RESTORATION SINCE 1959

90 Church St., Suite B Black Mountain, NC 28711 Phone: 828-793-0398 Web: quible.com Engineering Services

STORMWATER NARRATIVE

Tudor Lane, Lot 6 PIN(S): 9548-45-9747, Henderson County February 5, 2024

Project Description

This project involves the construction of a single-family home on Lot 6 off Tudor Lane in Laurel Park, NC. The site is 0.88 acres with an average slope of 23.5%. A portion of the lot has steep and very steep slopes according to the Laurel Park Unified Development Ordinance (UDO).

Laurel Park UDO § 3.1.4 requires an investigation by a professional geotechnical engineer that includes an analysis and conclusion about the likelihood of landslide hazards or soil instability because of the utilization of typical stormwater dispersal measure such as disconnected downspouts, level spreaders, or similar techniques for disbursing stormwater across a development site.

The proposed design incorporates the rain garden option of dispersal. To minimize the impact of runoff to the steep and very steep slopes, the proposed rain garden is located in a flatter part of the site and avoids the steep and very steep parts of the site completely. Additionally, the design proposes using a geosynthetic clay liner (GCL) placed around the raingarden media to prevent any infiltration of runoff to the media from entering the steeper slopes on site.

To achieve the object of stormwater dispersal the proposed rain garden has a long overflow weir of 55.5 feet.

The majority of the house, and all of the rain garden will be built within the area that is 15% slope or less. Approximately 0.19 of the 0.88 acres will be disturbed for the construction of the house, driveway, and rain garden.

The rain garden has been designed to treat the first 1.19 inches of runoff per the Laurel Park UDO. The total area that will be flowing into the rain garden totals 5,928 sq ft, 4,163.5 sq ft comprise of the house roof and the other 1,764.5 sq ft comprise of open space that drains to the rain garden. Stormwater runoff will be treated by the rain garden and be discharged over a 55-foot weir in the same direction as the predevelopment conditions. An earthen berm will be built up with 3:1 side slope to achieve the volume needed for treatment.

The Site is owned by James and Gayle Pratt with a mailing address of 51598 State Highway 6, Bigfork MN, 56628. The parcel is undeveloped and has not been assigned an address. The parcel is located at Lat: 35.4169, Lon: -82.1966 on Tudor Lane.

HydroCAD model results

The HydroCAD model for the proposed design indicates the following flows over the raingarden overflow weir:

25-year, 24-hour	=	1.15 CFS @ 55.5' length = 0.021 CFS/LF of weir
100-year, 24-hour	=	1.60 CFS @ 55.5' length = 0.029 CFS/LF of weir

As noted above, the proposed design meets the object of stormwater dispersal for steep and very steep slopes. The flow per linear foot of overflow weir is considered insignificant.

Soils

Information from the United States Department of Agriculture National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Soil Survey indicates there is one soil on the site:

• DeB - Delanco (dillard) Loam, 2 to 7 percent slopes, with a drainage class of "moderately well drained", a runoff class of "medium" and Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) C.

For the purposes of determining Curve Number for use in TR-55 modeling, a conservative HSG "C" was used.

Adjacent Property

Adjacent parcels are residential privately owned properties zoned as ETJ R-30 (Low Density Residential).

Methods

The components and materials for the proposed stormwater management plan were selected and sized using engineering analyses as follows:

- 1. Rain Garden
 - a. HydroCAD, was used to produce hydrographs for watershed modeling, inflow hydrograph development, and routing calculations:
 - i. The NOAA Atlas 14 was accessed for rainfall depths associated with specific storm events.
 - ii. The unit hydrograph method was used to develop runoff hydrographs.
 - iii. The TR-55 method was used to predict time of concentration and routing calculations.

Results

Additional information and final configurations can be found in the construction drawings and calculation package attached to this report.

SCM Inspection and Maintenance

The responsible party for the maintenance and repair of the stormwater control measures is the owner listed to the parcel. Maintenance of the rain garden media and plantings are the responsibility of the homeowner.



Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	25YR-24HR	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	6.98	2
2	100YR-24HR	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	8.72	2

Rainfall Events Listing (selected events)

Prepared by Quible & Associates, PC HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area (sq-ft)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
1,765	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (DA)
4,164	98	Roofs, HSG C (DA)
5,929	91	TOTAL AREA

Prepared by Quible & Associ	iates, PC
HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211	© 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(sq-ft)	Group	Numbers
0	HSG A	
0	HSG B	
5,929	HSG C	DA
0	HSG D	
0	Other	
5,929		TOTAL AREA

Prepared by Quible & Associates, PC					
HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211	© 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC				

Printed 1/11/2024 Page 5

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Su
 (sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	Cover	Nu
 0	0	1,765	0	0	1,765	>75% Grass	
						cover, Good	
0	0	4,164	0	0	4,164	Roofs	
0	0	5,929	0	0	5,929	TOTAL AREA	

Ground Covers (all nodes)

Time span=0.00-28.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 2801 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment DA: TO RAIN GARDENRunoff Area=5,929 sf 70.23% ImperviousRunoff Depth=5.92"Tc=5.0 minCN=91Runoff=1.34 cfs 2,925 cf

Pond RG: RAIN GARDENPeak Elev=2,315.03' Storage=935 cfInflow=1.34 cfs2,925 cfDiscarded=0.09 cfs2,514 cfPrimary=1.15 cfs410 cfOutflow=1.24 cfs2,925 cf

Total Runoff Area = 5,929 sf Runoff Volume = 2,925 cf Average Runoff Depth = 5.92"29.77% Pervious = 1,765 sf70.23% Impervious = 4,164 sf

Summary for Subcatchment DA: TO RAIN GARDEN

Runoff = 1.34 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond RG : RAIN GARDEN 2,925 cf, Depth= 5.92"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-28.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type II 24-hr 25YR-24HR Rainfall=6.98"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
	4,164	98	Roofs, HSG	ЭС						
	1,765	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG C					
	5,929	91	Weighted A	verage						
	1,765	,765 29.77% Pervious Area								
	4,164	4,164 70.23% Impervious Area								
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description					
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)						
5.0					Direct Entry, TIME OF CONCENTRATION					
					•					

Subcatchment DA: TO RAIN GARDEN



Prepared by Quible & Associates, PC HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Hydrograph for Subcatchment DA: TO RAIN GARDEN

Time (hours)	Precip. (inches)	Excess (inches)	Runoff (cfs)	Time (hours)	Precip. (inches)	Excess (inches)	Runoff (cfs)
	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.00	6.08	5.92	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.00	6 98	5.92	0.00
1 00	0.04	0.00	0.00	27.00	6 98	5 92	0.00
1.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	27.50	6 98	5.92	0.00
2 00	0.11	0.00	0.00	28.00	6 98	5 92	0.00
2.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.52	0.00
3.00	0.20	0.00	0.00				
3.50	0.24	0.00	0.00				
4 00	0.20	0.01	0.00				
4 50	0.39	0.02	0.00				
5.00	0.44	0.05	0.01				
5.50	0.50	0.07	0.01				
6.00	0.56	0.10	0.01				
6.50	0.62	0.13	0.01				
7.00	0.69	0.16	0.01				
7.50	0.76	0.21	0.01				
8.00	0.84	0.25	0.01				
8.50	0.92	0.31	0.02				
9.00	1.03	0.38	0.02				
9.50	1.14	0.46	0.02				
10.00	1.26	0.55	0.03				
10.50	1.42	0.68	0.04				
11.00	1.64	0.86	0.05				
11.50	1.98	1.14	0.09				
12.00	4.63	3.62	1.11				
12.50	5.13	4.11	0.10				
13.00	5.39	4.30	0.06				
13.50	0.00 5.70	4.04	0.05				
14.00	5.72	4.09	0.04				
14.50	5.05	4.01	0.03				
15.00	6.06	5.01	0.00				
16.00	6 14	5 10	0.00				
16.50	6.22	5.17	0.02				
17.00	6.29	5.25	0.02				
17.50	6.36	5.31	0.02				
18.00	6.43	5.38	0.02				
18.50	6.49	5.44	0.02				
19.00	6.55	5.49	0.01				
19.50	6.60	5.54	0.01				
20.00	6.64	5.59	0.01				
20.50	6.69	5.63	0.01				
21.00	6.73	5.68	0.01				
21.50	6.78	5.72	0.01				
22.00	6.82	5.76	0.01				
22.50	6.86	5.80	0.01				
23.00	6.90	5.84	0.01				
23.50	0.94	0.00 5.00	0.01				
24.00	0 6.0 0	5.92					
24.00	0.90	5.92	0.00				
25.00	6 98	5 92	0.00				
20.00	0.00	0.02	0.00				
				•			

Summary for Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Inflow Area	a =	5,929 sf,	70.23% In	npervious,	Inflow Depth =	5.92" 1	for 25YR-24HR event
Inflow	=	1.34 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	2,925 c	f	
Outflow	=	1.24 cfs @	11.99 hrs,	Volume=	2,925 c	f, Atten=	7%, Lag= 2.1 min
Discarded	=	0.09 cfs @	11.99 hrs,	Volume=	2,514 c	f	-
Primary	=	1.15 cfs @	11.99 hrs,	Volume=	410 c	f	

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-28.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 2,315.03' @ 11.99 hrs Surf.Area= 1,675 sf Storage= 935 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 79.6 min calculated for 2,923 cf (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 79.6 min (851.7 - 772.1)

Volume	Invert	Avail.St	torage	Storage Description	n					
#1 #2	2,314.25' 2,312.25'	1,	605 cf 278 cf	Custom Stage Dat Custom Stage Dat 1.390 cf Overall x	Custom Stage Data (Irregular) Listed below (Recalc) Custom Stage Data (Irregular) Listed below (Recalc) 1.390 cf Overall x 20.0% Voids					
		1,	883 cf	Total Available Sto	rage					
Elevation (feet)	Sur	f.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)				
2,314.25 2,315.00 2,316.00		695 980 980	133.9 154.4 154.4	0 625 980	0 625 1,605	695 1,178 1,332				
Elevation (feet)	Sur	f.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)				
2,312.25 2,313.25 2,314.25		695 695 695	134.0 134.0 134.0	0 695 695	0 695 1,390	695 829 963				
Device F	Routing	Inver	t Outle	et Devices						
#1 F #2 [Primary Discarded	2,315.00 2,312.25	54.0' 0.5' (2.00(Conc	long Sharp-Creste Crest Height D in/hr Exfiltration of ductivity to Groundw	ed Rectangular W over Surface area vater Elevation = 2	eir 2 End Contraction(s) ,300.00' Phase-In= 0.0)1'			

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.09 cfs @ 11.99 hrs HW=2,315.03' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Controls 0.09 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=1.05 cfs @ 11.99 hrs HW=2,315.03' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 1.05 cfs @ 0.60 fps)

Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir -

Exfiltration



Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Stage-Area-Storage Surface/Horizontal/Wetted Area (sq-ft) 500 600 700 800 900 1,000 1,100 1,200 1,300 1,400 1,500 1,600 0 100 200 300 400 Surface Storage 2,316 2,315 Elevation (feet) Custom Stage Data 2,314 2,313 Custom Stage Data 200 400 1,000 1,200 1,400 1,600 1,800 600 800 0 Storage (cubic-feet)

Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Prepared by Quible & Associates, PC HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Hydrograph for Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Discarded	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(cubic-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
5.00	0.01	1	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
6.00	0.01	1	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
7.00	0.01	2	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
8.00	0.01	2	2,312.27	0.01	0.01	0.00
9.00	0.02	3	2,312.27	0.02	0.02	0.00
10.00	0.03	4	2,312.28	0.03	0.03	0.00
11.00	0.05	32	2,312.48	0.03	0.03	0.00
12.00	1.11	934	2,315.03	1.15	0.09	1.06
13.00	0.06	879	2,314.98	0.09	0.09	0.00
14.00	0.04	743	2,314.83	0.08	0.08	0.00
15.00	0.03	569	2,314.63	0.08	0.08	0.00
16.00	0.02	389	2,314.40	0.07	0.07	0.00
17.00	0.02	253	2,314.07	0.04	0.04	0.00
18.00	0.02	188	2,313.60	0.04	0.04	0.00
19.00	0.01	119	2,313.11	0.03	0.03	0.00
20.00	0.01	47	2,312.59	0.03	0.03	0.00
21.00	0.01	2	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
22.00	0.01	2	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
23.00	0.01	2	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
24.00	0.01	2	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
25.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
26.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
27.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
28.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00

Type II 24-hr 25YR-24HR Rainfall=6.98" Printed 1/11/2024

Prepared by Quible & Associates, PC HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 13

Stage-Discharge for Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Elevation	Discharge	Discarded	Primary	Elevation	Discharge	Discarded	Primary
(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,314.85	0.08	0.08	0.00
2,312.30	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,314.90	0.08	0.08	0.00
2,312.35	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,314.95	0.09	0.09	0.00
2,312.40	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.00	0.09	0.09	0.00
2,312.45	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.05	2.09	0.09	2.00
2,312.50	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.10	5.81	0.09	5.72
2,312.55	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.15	10.72	0.09	10.63
2,312.60	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.20	16.64	0.09	16.55
2,312.65	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.25	23.49	0.09	23.40
2,312.70	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.30	31.20	0.09	31.11
2,312.75	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.35	39.73	0.09	39.64
2,312.80	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.40	49.06	0.09	48.97
2,312.85	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.45	59.16	0.09	59.07
2,312.90	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.50	70.03	0.09	69.94
2,312.95	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.55	81.64	0.09	81.55
2.313.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	2.315.60	93,99	0.09	93.90
2.313.05	0.03	0.03	0.00	2.315.65	107.08	0.09	106.99
2.313.10	0.03	0.03	0.00	2.315.70	120.90	0.09	120.81
2.313.15	0.03	0.03	0.00	2.315.75	135.45	0.09	135.36
2.313.20	0.03	0.03	0.00	2.315.80	150.72	0.09	150.63
2.313.25	0.03	0.03	0.00	2.315.85	166.72	0.09	166.63
2.313.30	0.03	0.03	0.00	2.315.90	183.44	0.09	183.35
2.313.35	0.04	0.04	0.00	2.315.95	200.89	0.09	200.80
2.313.40	0.04	0.04	0.00	2.316.00	219.06	0.09	218.97
2.313.45	0.04	0.04	0.00	,			
2.313.50	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2.313.55	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2.313.60	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.65	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.70	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.75	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.80	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.85	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.90	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.95	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.00	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.05	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.10	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.15	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.20	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.25	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.30	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.35	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.40	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.45	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.50	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.55	0.08	0.08	0.00				
2,314.60	0.08	0.08	0.00				
2,314.65	0.08	0.08	0.00				
2,314.70	0.08	0.08	0.00				
2,314.75	0.08	0.08	0.00				
2,314.80	0.08	0.08	0.00				

Prepared by Quible & Associates, PC HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Area-Storage for Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Elevation	Surface	Storage	Elevation	Surface	Storage
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(feet)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)
2,312.25	695	0	2,314.85	1,614	/61
2,312.30	695	1	2,314.90	1,634	807
2,312.30	090 605	14	2,314.95	1,004	800
2,312.40	090	21	2,315.00	1,0/5	903
2,312.40	090	20	2,315.00	1,075	1 001
2,312.50	695	33 42	2,315.10	1,075	1,001
2,312.00	695	42	2,315,20	1,075	1,000
2,312,65	695	56	2 315 25	1,675	1 148
2.312.70	695	63	2.315.30	1.675	1,197
2,312.75	695	70	2,315.35	1,675	1,246
2,312.80	695	76	2,315.40	1,675	1,295
2,312.85	695	83	2,315.45	1,675	1,344
2,312.90	695	90	2,315.50	1,675	1,393
2,312.95	695	97	2,315.55	1,675	1,442
2,313.00	695	104	2,315.60	1,675	1,491
2,313.05	695	111	2,315.65	1,675	1,540
2,313.10	695	118	2,315.70	1,675	1,589
2,313.13	695 605	120	2,315.75	1,075	1,038
2,313.20	695	132	2,315.00	1,075	1,007
2,313,30	695	139	2,315.00	1,075	1,730
2 313 35	695	153	2 315 95	1,675	1 834
2.313.40	695	160	2.316.00	1.675	1.883
2,313.45	695	167	,	,	,
2,313.50	695	174			
2,313.55	695	181			
2,313.60	695	188			
2,313.65	695	195			
2,313.70	695	202			
2,313.75	695	209			
2,313.80	695	215			
2,313.00	090 605	222			
2,313.90	695	229			
2,314,00	695	230			
2 314 05	695	250			
2.314.10	695	257			
2,314.15	695	264			
2,314.20	695	271			
2,314.25	1,390	278			
2,314.30	1,407	313			
2,314.35	1,425	349			
2,314.40	1,443	386			
2,314.45	1,461	424			
2,314.50	1,480	463			
2,314.33	1,498	DU3			
2,314.00	1,017	043 585			
2,314,70	1 555	627			
2.314 75	1,500	671			
2,314.80	1.594	715			
,	,	-			

Time span=0.00-28.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 2801 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment DA: TO RAIN GARDENRunoff Area=5,929 sf 70.23% ImperviousRunoff Depth=7.64"Tc=5.0 minCN=91Runoff=1.70 cfs 3,773 cf

 Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN
 Peak Elev=2,315.04' Storage=943 cf
 Inflow=1.70 cfs
 3,773 cf

 Discarded=0.09 cfs
 2,879 cf
 Primary=1.60 cfs
 894 cf
 Outflow=1.69 cfs
 3,773 cf

Total Runoff Area = 5,929 sf Runoff Volume = 3,773 cf Average Runoff Depth = 7.64" 29.77% Pervious = 1,765 sf 70.23% Impervious = 4,164 sf

Summary for Subcatchment DA: TO RAIN GARDEN

Runoff = 1.70 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond RG : RAIN GARDEN 3,773 cf, Depth= 7.64"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-28.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type II 24-hr 100YR-24HR Rainfall=8.72"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
	4,164	98	Roofs, HSG	ЭС						
	1,765	74	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG C					
	5,929	91	Weighted A							
	1,765		29.77% Per	vious Area						
	4,164	70.23% Impervious Area								
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description					
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	i) (ft/sec)	(cfs)						
5.0					Direct Entry, TIME OF CONCENTRATION					
					-					

Subcatchment DA: TO RAIN GARDEN



HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Hydrograph for Subcatchment DA: TO RAIN GARDEN

Time	Precip.	Excess	Runoff	Time	Precip.	Excess	Runoff
(hours)	(inches)	(inches)	(cfs)	(hours)	(inches)	(inches)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	26.00	8.72	7.64	0.00
0.50	0.04	0.00	0.00	26.50	8.72	7.64	0.00
1.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	27.00	8.72	7.64	0.00
1.50	0.14	0.00	0.00	27.50	8.72	7.64	0.00
2.00	0.19	0.00	0.00	28.00	8.72	7.64	0.00
2.50	0.25	0.00	0.00				
3.00	0.30	0.01	0.00				
3.50	0.36	0.02	0.00				
4.00	0.42	0.04	0.01				
4.50	0.48	0.06	0.01				
5.00	0.55	0.09	0.01				
5.50	0.62	0.13	0.01				
0.00	0.70	0.17	0.01				
0.50	0.70	0.21	0.01				
7.00	0.00	0.27	0.02				
8.00	1.05	0.33	0.02				
8 50	1.05	0.33	0.02				
9.00	1.10	0.47	0.02				
9.50	1.20	0.68	0.00				
10.00	1.12	0.80	0.00				
10.50	1.78	0.97	0.05				
11.00	2.05	1.21	0.07				
11.50	2.47	1.58	0.12				
12.00	5.78	4.74	1.40				
12.50	6.41	5.36	0.12				
13.00	6.73	5.68	0.08				
13.50	6.97	5.91	0.06				
14.00	7.15	6.09	0.05				
14.50	7.30	6.24	0.04				
15.00	7.44	6.37	0.04				
15.50	7.57	6.50	0.03				
16.00	1.67	6.60	0.03				
10.50	1.11	6.70	0.03				
17.00	7.80	0.79	0.02				
17.50	7.90	0.00	0.02				
10.00	0.03	0.90	0.02				
10.00	0.11 8.18	7.03	0.02				
19.00	8 24	7.10	0.02				
20.00	8 30	7.10	0.02				
20.00	8.36	7 28	0.02				
21.00	8.41	7.33	0.01				
21.50	8.47	7.39	0.01				
22.00	8.52	7.44	0.01				
22.50	8.57	7.49	0.01				
23.00	8.62	7.54	0.01				
23.50	8.67	7.59	0.01				
24.00	8.72	7.64	0.01				
24.50	8.72	7.64	0.00				
25.00	8.72	7.64	0.00				
25.50	8.72	7.64	0.00				

Summary for Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Inflow Area	a =	5,929 sf,	70.23% In	npervious,	Inflow Depth =	7.64"	for 10	00YR-24HR event
Inflow	=	1.70 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	3,773 c	f		
Outflow	=	1.69 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	3,773 c	f, Atten	= 0%,	Lag= 0.3 min
Discarded	=	0.09 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	2,879 c	f		
Primary	=	1.60 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	894 c	f		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-28.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 2,315.04' @ 11.96 hrs Surf.Area= 1,675 sf Storage= 943 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 72.5 min calculated for 3,771 cf (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 72.5 min (838.2 - 765.7)

Volume	Invert	Avail.St	torage	Storage Description	n					
#1 #2	2,314.25' 2,312.25'	1,	605 cf 278 cf	Custom Stage Dat Custom Stage Dat 1.390 cf Overall x	Custom Stage Data (Irregular) Listed below (Recalc) Custom Stage Data (Irregular) Listed below (Recalc) 1.390 cf Overall x 20.0% Voids					
		1,	883 cf	Total Available Sto	rage					
Elevation (feet)	Sur	f.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)				
2,314.25 2,315.00 2,316.00		695 980 980	133.9 154.4 154.4	0 625 980	0 625 1,605	695 1,178 1,332				
Elevation (feet)	Sur	f.Area (sq-ft)	Perim. (feet)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)				
2,312.25 2,313.25 2,314.25		695 695 695	134.0 134.0 134.0	0 695 695	0 695 1,390	695 829 963				
Device F	Routing	Inver	t Outle	et Devices						
#1 F #2 [Primary Discarded	2,315.00 2,312.25	54.0' 0.5' (2.00(Conc	long Sharp-Creste Crest Height D in/hr Exfiltration of ductivity to Groundw	ed Rectangular W over Surface area vater Elevation = 2	eir 2 End Contraction(s) ,300.00' Phase-In= 0.0)1'			

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.09 cfs @ 11.96 hrs HW=2,315.04' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Controls 0.09 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=1.49 cfs @ 11.96 hrs HW=2,315.04' (Free Discharge) ←1=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 1.49 cfs @ 0.67 fps)

Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir -

Exfiltration



Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN



Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 21

Hydrograph for Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Discarded	Primary
(nours)						
0.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
3.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.00	0.01	1	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
5.00	0.01	1	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
6.00	0.01	2	2,312.26	0.01	0.01	0.00
7.00	0.02	2	2,312.27	0.01	0.01	0.00
8.00	0.02	3	2,312.27	0.02	0.02	0.00
9.00	0.03	5	2,312.28	0.03	0.03	0.00
10.00	0.04	9	2,312.31	0.03	0.03	0.00
11.00	0.07	80	2,312.82	0.03	0.03	0.00
12.00	1.40	939	2,315.04	1.46	0.09	1.37
13.00	0.08	900	2,315.00	0.09	0.09	0.00
14.00	0.05	804	2,314.90	0.08	0.08	0.00
15.00	0.04	652	2,314.73	0.08	0.08	0.00
16.00	0.03	486	2,314.53	0.08	0.08	0.00
17.00	0.02	316	2,314.30	0.07	0.07	0.00
18.00	0.02	237	2,313.96	0.04	0.04	0.00
19.00	0.02	179	2,313.54	0.04	0.04	0.00
20.00	0.02	115	2,313.08	0.03	0.03	0.00
21.00	0.01	48	2,312.60	0.03	0.03	0.00
22.00	0.01	2	2,312.27	0.01	0.01	0.00
23.00	0.01	2	2,312.27	0.01	0.01	0.00
24.00	0.01	2	2,312.27	0.01	0.01	0.00
25.00	0.00	0	2.312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
26.00	0.00	0	2.312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
27.00	0.00	0	2.312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
28.00	0.00	0	2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00

Type II 24-hr 100YR-24HR Rainfall=8.72" Printed 1/11/2024

Prepared by Quible & Associates, PC HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 22

Stage-Discharge for Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Elevation	Discharge	Discarded	Primary	Elevation	Discharge	Discarded	Primary
(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
2,312.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,314.85	0.08	0.08	0.00
2,312.30	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,314.90	0.08	0.08	0.00
2,312.35	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,314.95	0.09	0.09	0.00
2,312.40	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.00	0.09	0.09	0.00
2,312.45	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.05	2.09	0.09	2.00
2,312.50	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.10	5.81	0.09	5.72
2,312.55	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.15	10.72	0.09	10.63
2,312.60	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.20	16.64	0.09	16.55
2,312.65	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.25	23.49	0.09	23.40
2,312.70	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.30	31.20	0.09	31.11
2,312.75	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.35	39.73	0.09	39.64
2,312.80	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.40	49.06	0.09	48.97
2,312.85	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.45	59.16	0.09	59.07
2,312.90	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.50	70.03	0.09	69.94
2,312.95	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.55	81.64	0.09	81.55
2,313.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.60	93.99	0.09	93.90
2,313.05	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.65	107.08	0.09	106.99
2,313.10	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.70	120.90	0.09	120.81
2,313.15	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.75	135.45	0.09	135.36
2,313.20	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.80	150.72	0.09	150.63
2,313.25	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.85	166.72	0.09	166.63
2,313.30	0.03	0.03	0.00	2,315.90	183.44	0.09	183.35
2,313.35	0.04	0.04	0.00	2,315.95	200.89	0.09	200.80
2,313.40	0.04	0.04	0.00	2,316.00	219.06	0.09	218.97
2,313.45	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.50	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.55	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.60	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.65	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.70	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.75	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.80	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.85	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.90	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,313.95	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.00	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.05	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.10	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.15	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.20	0.04	0.04	0.00				
2,314.25	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.30	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.35	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.40	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.45	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.3U	0.07	0.07	0.00				
2,314.33	0.08	0.08	0.00				
2,314.00	0.08		0.00				
2,314.00		0.00	0.00				
2,314.70		0.00	0.00				
2,314.73	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00				
2,017.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				

Prepared by Quible & Associates, PC HydroCAD® 10.20-3c s/n 11211 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Stage-Area-Storage for Pond RG: RAIN GARDEN

Elevation	Surface	Storage	Elevation	Surface	Storage
	(sq-tt)	(cubic-teet)		(sq-tt)	
2,312.25	695	0	2,314.85	1,614	/61
2,312.30	695	1	2,314.90	1,634	807
2,312.35	695	14	2,314.95	1,654	855
2,312.40	695	21	2,315.00	1,675	903
2,312.45	695	28	2,315.05	1,675	952
2,312.50	095	30	2,315.10	1,075	1,001
2,312.55	090 605	42	2,315.15	1,075	1,050
2,312.00	090	49	2,315.20	1,075	1,099
2,312.05	605	50	2,315.25	1,075	1,140
2,312.70	695	70	2,315,30	1,075	1,197
2 312 80	695	70	2,315,40	1,075	1,240
2,312,85	695	83	2,315,45	1,675	1,200
2.312.90	695	90	2.315.50	1,675	1,393
2.312.95	695	97	2.315.55	1.675	1,442
2,313.00	695	104	2,315.60	1,675	1,491
2,313.05	695	111	2,315.65	1,675	1,540
2,313.10	695	118	2,315.70	1,675	1,589
2,313.15	695	125	2,315.75	1,675	1,638
2,313.20	695	132	2,315.80	1,675	1,687
2,313.25	695	139	2,315.85	1,675	1,736
2,313.30	695	146	2,315.90	1,675	1,785
2,313.35	695	153	2,315.95	1,675	1,834
2,313.40	695	160	2,316.00	1,675	1,883
2,313.45	695	167			
2,313.50	695 605	1/4			
2,313.00	090 605	101			
2,313.00	695	100			
2,313,00	695	202			
2,313,75	695	202			
2 313 80	695	215			
2.313.85	695	222			
2,313.90	695	229			
2,313.95	695	236			
2,314.00	695	243			
2,314.05	695	250			
2,314.10	695	257			
2,314.15	695	264			
2,314.20	695	271			
2,314.25	1,390	278			
2,314.30	1,407	313			
2,314.35	1,425	349			
2,314.40	1,443	386			
2,314.45	1,401	424			
2,314.30	1,480 1 /02	403			
2,314.00	1,490	503			
2,314.00	1 526	525			
2,314,70	1 555	627			
2,314 75	1,500	671			
2,314.80	1.594	715			
,	,				

<u>NOTES:</u> CURRENT OWNER:

SEARCH.

REVOCABLE TRUST



- 3. TOTAL PARCEL AREA(S): 31,363 SF
- 4. PARCEL LINES, EXISTING FEATURES, IMPROVEMENTS, TOPOGRAPHIC, AND BOUNDARY INFORMATION BASED ON PRELIMINARY SITE SURVEY ENTITLED, "SURVEY OF LOT 6 OF LAUREL OAKS SUBDIVISION & LIDAR TOPOGRAPHIC DATA
- 5. BASED ON GRAPHIC DETERMINATION, THE PROPERTY IS IN ZONE X ACCORDING TO FEMA/FIRM COMMUNITY PANEL NO. 3700954800J, DATED OCTOBER 2, 2008.



NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT IS PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION, RECORDATION, SALES OR CONVEYANCES - THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY! EXISTING INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS BASED ON BEST AVAILABLE DATA AND IS NOT A CERTIFIED SURVEY. ALL INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO ANY REQUIREMENTS BY ANY REGULATORY AGENCY, ENTITY OR AUTHORITY.

COMPLETENESS OF ANY INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT AND IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERROR OR OMISSION OR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION.

<u>NOTES:</u> CURRENT OWNER:

PRATT, JAMES RODNEY,PRATT, GAYLE MORENE; THE JAMES RODNEY PRATT AND GAYLE MORENE PRATT REVOCABLE TRUST 51546 STATE HWY 6 BIGFORK, MN 56628



RESIDENTIAL BUILDING (ETJ R-30) 9548-45-9141 0.88 ACRES 35.4169°, -82.1966° LOT 6 LAUREL OAKS HENDERSONVILLE NC, 28139

HENDERSONVILLE NC, 20134 2. SUBJECT REFERENCES: D.B. 4040 PG. 183 & S.E. I PL: SLD-3081

3. TOTAL PARCEL AREA(S); 38454.95 SQ. FT.

- 4. PARCEL LINES, EXISTING FEATURES, IMPROVEMENTS, TOPOGRAPHIC, AND BOUNDARY INFORMATION BASED ON PRELIMINARY SITE SURVEY ENTITLED, "SURVEY OF LOT 6 OF LAUREL OAKS SUBDIVISION & LIDAR TOPOGRAPHIC DATA FOR THE JAMES RODNEY PRATT & GAYLE MORENE PRATT REVOCABLE TRUST" DATED OCTOBER 2, 2023 AND RECEIVED VIA EMAIL DATED OCTOBER 9, 2023, WITH FILE NAME S-2309-110B. TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN VERIFIED.
- 5. BASED ON GRAPHIC DETERMINATION, THE PROPERTY IS IN ZONE X ACCORDING TO FEMA/FIRM COMMUNITY PANEL NO. 3700954800J, DATED OCTOBER 2, 2008.
 6. THIS PLAN SUBJECT TO ANY FACTS, INCLUDING BUILDING SETBACK RESTRICTIONS, EASEMENTS, COVENANTS, ETC., THAT MAY BE REVEALED BY A FULL AND ACCURATE TITLE SEARCH.

1. PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA: 4,746.09 SQ. FT.

<u>LEGEND</u>

	SUBJECT PROPERTY LINE
	ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
	5' MAJOR CONTOUR
	I' MINOR CONTOUR
	EX RIGHT OF WAY
	EX EASEMENT
	EX EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	RAIN GARDEN
	EX STORM INLET
	EX UTILITY BOX
\bigcirc	EX IRON ROD
$\overset{\smile}{igodoldsymbol{\Theta}}$	EX BENCHMARK
	EX PK NAIL
-	CALC POINT

•

RAIN_GARDEN BASIN OVERFLOW AT ELEVATION 2315.0' (SEE DETAIL ON SHEET 4) TOP OF BERM AT ELEVATION 2316.0' 0.5' BASIN DEPTH WITH 3:1 SLOPED SIDES 2.0' MEDIA MIX STORAGE DEPTH TOP OF MEDIA AT ELEVATION 2314.25' BOTTOM OF MEDIA AT ELEVATION 2312.25' ADD 3" TRIPLE SHREDDED MULCH TO TOP OF MEDIA 4"Ø PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN SYSTEM MEDIA AND UNDERDRAIN TO BE LINED WITH A GEOSYNTHETIC CLAY LINER SUCH AS BENTOFIX® OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT

IPF 5/8" REBAR —

N 07°IB'22" W

211.48' TOTAL

(BENT)

N/F LOT 5 GEVERS, MICHAEL & KATHLEEN PIN: 9548457792 O.TI ACRES D.B.1684 PG, 243

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT IS PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION, RECORDATION, SALES OR CONVEYANCES - THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY! EXISTING INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS BASED ON BEST AVAILABLE DATA AND IS NOT A CERTIFIED SURVEY. ALL INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO ANY REQUIREMENTS BY ANY REGULATORY AGENCY, ENTITY OR AUTHORITY.

QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OR THE COMPLETENESS OF ANY INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT AND IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERROR OR OMISSION OR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION.



<u>NOTES:</u> CURRENT OWNER:

PRATT, JAMES RODNEY,PRATT, GAYLE MORENE; THE JAMES RODNEY PRATT AND GAYLE MORENE PRATT REVOCABLE TRUST 51598 STATE HWY 6 BIGFORK, MN 56628

I. PROPERTY INFORMATION: ZONING DISTRICT: PIN: ACREAGE: LAT/LONG: ADDRESS:

RESIDENTIAL BUILDING (ETJ R-30) *9548-45-*9747 0.12 ACRES 35.4169°, -82.1966° LOT 6 LAUREL OAKS HENDERSONVILLE NC, 28739

3. TOTAL PARCEL AREA(S): 31,363.0 SQ. FT.

2. SUBJECT REFERENCES: D.B. 4040 PG. 183 & S.E. 1 PL: SLD-3081

4. PARCEL LINES, EXISTING FEATURES, IMPROVEMENTS, TOPOGRAPHIC, AND BOUNDARY INFORMATION BASED ON PRELIMINARY SITE SURVEY ENTITLED, "SURVEY OF LOT 6 OF LAUREL OAKS SUBDIVISION & LIDAR TOPOGRAPHIC DATA FOR THE JAMES RODNEY PRATT & GAYLE MORENE PRATT REVOCABLE TRUST" DATED OCTOBER 2, 2023 AND RECEIVED VIA EMAIL DATED XXXXXXX WITH FILE NAME 5-2309-110B. TOPOGRAPHIC

- INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN VERIFIED 5. BASED ON GRAPHIC DETERMINATION, THE PROPERTY IS IN ZONE X ACCORDING TO FEMA/FIRM COMMUNITY PANEL NO. 3700954800J, DATED OCTOBER 2, 2008.
- 6. THIS PLAN SUBJECT TO ANY FACTS, INCLUDING BUILDING SETBACK RESTRICTIONS, EASEMENTS, COVENANTS, ETC., THAT MAY BE REVEALED BY A FULL AND ACCURATE TITLE SEARCH.
- t. BUA: PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA: 4,746.09 SQ. FT.

NOTE: CONTRACTOR TO ROUTE ALL ROOF DRAINS TO RAIN GARDEN

RAIN GARDEN BASIN OVERFLOW AT ELEVATION 2315.0' (SEE DETAIL ON SHEET 4) TOP OF BERM AT ELEVATION 2316.0' 0.5' BASIN DEPTH WITH 3:1 SLOPED SIDES 2.0' MEDIA MIX STORAGE DEPTH TOP OF MEDIA AT ELEVATION 2314.25' BOTTOM OF MEDIA AT ELEVATION 2312.25 ADD 3" TRIPLE SHREDDED MULCH TO TOP OF MEDIA 4"Ø PERFORATED PIPE UNDERDRAIN SYSTEM MEDIA AND UNDERDRAIN TO BE LINED WITH A GEOSYNTHETIC CLAY LINER SUCH AS BENTOFIX® OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT

> N 07°IB'22" W 211.48' TOTAL

<u>LEGEND</u>

	SUBJECT PROPERTY LINE
	ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
	5' MAJOR CONTOUR
	I' MINOR CONTOUR
	EX RIGHT OF WAY
	EX EASEMENT
	EX EDGE OF PAVEMENT
	RAIN GARDEN
	EX STORM INLET
	EX UTILITY BOX
\bigcirc	EX IRON ROD
$\overset{\smile}{igodoldsymbol{\Theta}}$	EX BENCHMARK
	EX PK NAIL
•	CALC POINT

LOT 5 GEVERS, MCHAEL & KATHLEEN PIN: 9548457792 O.71 ACRES D.B. 1684 PG. 243

€ 12°50′22° M I30.84' TIE LINE

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT IS PRELIMINARY - NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION, RECORDATION, SALES OR CONVEYANCES - THIS DOCUMENT IS FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY! EXISTING INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS BASED ON BEST AVAILABLE DATA AND IS NOT A CERTIFIED SURVEY. ALL INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO ANY REQUIREMENTS BY ANY REGULATORY AGENCY, ENTITY OR AUTHORITY.

QUIBLE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OR THE COMPLETENESS OF ANY INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT AND IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERROR OR OMISSION OR ANY LOSSES OR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THIS INFORMATION.

PLANTING NOTES: PLANT COMMUNITY SHOULD BE DIVERSE PLANTS TO AVOID SUSCEPTIBILITY TO INSECTS, DROUGHT, AND/OR DISEASE. SOD MEDIA FOR SOD SOD MUST NOT BE INSTALLED THAT HAS BEEN GROWN IN SOIL THAT HAS AN IMPERMEABLE LAYER, SUCH AS CLAY. PLANT MATERIAL SHOULD CONFORM TO THE CURRENT EDITION OF AMERICAN STANDARDS FOR STANDARDS FOR PLANT MATERIALS NURSERY STOCK. NORMAL, WELL-DEVELOPED BRANCHES AND VIGOROUS ROOT SYSTEMS, AND BE FREE FROM UPON DELIVERY OF PLANTS, CHECK: PHYSICAL DEFECTS, PLANT DISEASES, AND INSECT PEST, TAGGED FOR IDENTIFICATION, NOT ROOT-BOUND. CONTAINER SIZE IN MOST CASES, HERBACEOUS PLANTS INSTALLED IN RAIN GARDENS ARE GROWN IN CONTAINERS HOLDING 3.6 TO 6.8 CUBIC INCHES OF MEDIA. OTHER CONTAINER SIZES OR BARE ROOT STOCK MAY BE APPROPRIATE FOR SOME SPECIES AND CONDITIONS. NO CONTAINER SIZE IS SPECIFIED FOR TREES AND SHRUBS. OPTIMAL PLANTING TIME FALL AND WINTER PLANTING ARE BEST (WILL VARY FOR WESTERN PIEDMONT AND MOUNTAINS). SPRING IS ACCEPTABLE BUT WILL REQUIRE MORE SUMMER WATERING THAN FALL PLANTING. SUMMER PLANTING DRASTICALLY INCREASES PLANT MORTALITY AND REQUIRES REGULAR WATERING IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING INSTALLATION. HOW THE PLANTS SHOULD BE PLANTED FOR BEST SURVIVAL, TREES SHOULD BE PLANTED WITH THE TOP OF THE ROOT BALL PARTIALLY OUT OF THE MEDIA. FOR TREES AND SHRUBS, THE TOP OF THE ROOT BALL SHOULD BE 1-3" ABOVE THE MEDIA. IF LARGE TREES ARE TO BE PLANTED IN DEEP FILL MEDIA, CARE SHOULD BE -WEIR/OVERFLOW: 2315.0' TAKEN TO ENSURE THAT THEY WOULD BE STABLE AND NOT FALL OVER. LOCAL JURISDICTION CODES LOCAL JURISDICTIONS OFTEN HAVE SPECIFIC GUIDELINES FOR THE TYPES AND LOCATION OF TREES AND OTHER LANDSCAPE PLANTS PLANTED ALONG PUBLIC STREETS OR RIGHTS-OF-WAY. ADDITIONALLY, LOCAL LANDSCAPE ORDINANCES MST BE FOLLOWED. CONTACT LOCAL AUTHORITIES WHEN MAKING PLANT SELECTIONS FOR YOUR PROJECT. TIMBER OVERFLOW WEIR SODDED RAIN GARDENS ONLY: -TOP OF MEDIA: 2314.25' USE NON-CLUMPING, DEEP ROOTED SPECIES GEOSYNTHETIC CLAY LINER VEGETATION OTHER THAN SOD: -SUCH AS BENTOFIX®OR APPROVED AFTER 5 YEARS OF PLANTING: EQUIVALENT BOTTOM OF MEDIA: 2312.25'-• PLANTING PLAN SHOULD ACHIEVE MIN. OF 75% OF PLANT COVERAGE ----- MAX. COVERAGE WITH TREE OR SHRUB CANOPY IS 50% MULCHING: FOR RAIN GARDENS WITH VEGETATION OTHER THAN SOD, PLACE TWO TO FOUR INCHES OF TRIPLE SHREDDED HARD WOOD MULCH FOR THE PORTION OF THE GARDEN THAT WILL BE INUNDATED. BASIN EMBANKMENTS: BASIN EMBANKMENTS SHALL BE SODDED USING NON-CLUMPING, DEEP ROOTED SPECIES. USE COOL SEASON TURF GRASS SUCH AS FESCUE OR BLUEGRASS. AVOID SOD THAT IS GROWN IN SOIL THAT HAS AN IMPERMEABLE LAYER. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES: 1. IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE RAIN GARDEN IS ESTABLISHED, THE PLANTS WILL BE WATERED TWICE WEEKLY IF NEEDED UNTIL THE PLANTS BECOME ESTABLISHED. 2. MULCH, SNOW, OR OTHER MATERIAL WILL NOT BE PILED ON THE SURFACE OF THE RAIN GARDEN. 3. HEAVY EQUIPMENT WILL NEVER BE DRIVEN OVER THE BIO RETENTION CELL. SECTIONAL VIEW 4. SPECIAL CARE WILL BE TAKEN TO PREVENT SEDIMENT FROM ENTERING THE RAIN GARDEN. 5. ONCE A YEAR, A SOIL TEST OF THE SOIL MEDIA WILL BE CONDUCTED. 6. REMOVE TOP LAYER OF FILL MEDIA WHEN THE POOL DOES NOT DRAIN WITHIN 24 HOURS. THE RAIN GARDEN WILL BE INSPECTED QUARTERLY AND WITH 24 HOURS AFTER EVERY STORM EVENT GREATER THAN 1.0 INCHES. RECORDS OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SHALL BE KEPT IN A KNOWN SET LOCATION AND SHALL BE AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST. TYPICAL RAIN GARDEN DETAIL PROJECT DOES NOT REQUIRE RISER STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS EXTENDING BEYOND THE PLANTING PHASE. UNDERDRAIN PIPING TO CONNECT TO IT-1 DRAIN PIPE RAIN GARDEN MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS ARE TYPICAL LANDSCAPE CARE PROCEDURES AND INCLUDE: N.T.S. • WATERING: WATERING MAY BE REQUIRED TO INITIALLY ESTABLISH THE VEGETATION. WATERING SHOULD BOT BE REQUIRED AFTER ESTABLISHMENT (ABOUT 2 TO 3 YEARS). HOWEVER, WATERING MAY BE REQUIRED DURING PROLONGED DRY PERIODS AFTER PLANTS ARE ESTABLISHED. • EROSION CONTROL: INSPECT FLOW ENTRANCES, PONDING AREA, AND SURFACE OVERFLOW AREAS PERIODICALLY. REPLACE SOIL, PLANT MATERIAL, AND/OR MULCH IN AREAS WHERE EROSION HAS OCCURRED. IF SEDIMENT IS DEPOSITED IN THE RAIN GARDEN, IMMEDIATELY DETERMINE THE SOURCE, REMOVE EXCESS DEPOSITS, AND CORRECT THE PROBLEM. RAIN GARDEN PLANTING NOTES: • PLANT MATERIAL: OCCASIONAL PRUNING AND REMOVAL OF DEAD PLANT MATERIAL MAY BE NECESSARY DEPENDING ON PLANTS TREES FOR RAINGARDEN SELECTED AND AESTHETIC REQUIREMENTS. CAREFUL PRUNING SHOULD MAINTAIN LINES OF SIGHT IN PARKING LOTS AND ALONG AMELANCHIER ARBOREA AMELANCHIER CANADENSIS SERVICEBERRY ROADWAYS. REPLACE ALL DEAD PLANTS. IF A SPECIFIC PLANTS CONSISTENTLY HAVE A HIGH MORTALITY RATE, ASSESS THE BETULA NIGRA RIVER BIRCH CAUSE AND REPLACE WITH APPROPRIATE SPECIES. PERIODIC WEEDING IS NECESSARY UNTIL GROUNDCOVER PLANTS ARE CERCIS CANADENSIS EASTERN REDBUD ESTABLISHED. WEEDING SHOULD BECOME LESS FREQUENT WHEN APPROPRIATE PLANT DENSITY HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. PLATANUS OCCIDENTALIS AMERICAN SYCAMORE • NUTRIENTS AND PESTICIDES: NUTRIENT AND PESTICIDE INPUTS SHOULD NOT BE REQUIRED AND WILL DEGRADE THE POLLUTANT PROCESSING CAPABILITY OF THE RAIN GARDEN, AS WELL AS CONTRIBUTE TO ADDITIONAL POLLUTANT LOADING TO RECEIVING SHRUBS FOR RAINGARDE WATERS. ADDITION OF COMMERCIAL FERTILIZER OR COMPOST TO THE RAIN GARDEN WILL LIKELY RESULT IN NUTRIENT EXPORT FROM SILKY DOGWOOD THE BED. CAMUS AMOMUM • MULCH: REPLACE MULCH ANNUALLY IN THE RAIN GARDEN WHERE HEAVY METAL DEPOSITION IS LIKELY, SUCH AS DRAINAGE AREAS HALESIA CAROLINA CAROLINA SILVERBELL LLEX VERTICILLATE WINTERBERRRY THAT INCLUDE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL USES, PARKING LOTS, OR ROADS, AND WHERE WATER CONCENTRATIONS ENTER THE PHYSOCARPUS OPULIFOLIUS NINEBARK GARDEN. REPLACE OR ADD MULCH TO MAINTAIN A 2 TO 4-INCH DEPTH OF MULCH. AMERICAN BLACK ELDERBERRY • FILTERING CAPACITY: WHEN THE FILTERING CAPACITY DIMINISHES SUBSTANTIALLY, WHEN WATER PONDS ON THE SURFACE FOR SAMBUCUS CANADENSIS VACCINIUM ARBOREUM FARKLEBERRY MORE THAN 12 HOURS, REMEDIAL ACTIONS MUST BE TAKEN. IF WATER PONDS FOR MORE THAN 12 HOURS, THE TOP FEW INCHES VACCINIUM CORYMBOSUM HIGHBUSH BLUEBERRY OF MATERIAL SHOULD BE REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH FRESH MATERIAL. THE REMOVED SEDIMENTS SHOULD BE DISPOSED OF IN VACCINIUM FUSCATUM BLACK HIGHBUSH BLUEBERRY AN ACCEPTABLE MANNER OR LAND APPLICATION. IF THE PROBLEM IS NOT REMEDIED BY THIS ACTION, MORE EXTENSIVE REBUILDING IS REQUIRED. IF THE BED HAS FILTER FABRIC INSTALLED UNDER THE MEDIA MIX AND ABOVE THE WASHED ROCK, THE FILITER HERBACEOUS PLANTS FOR RAINGARDEN FABRIC MAY BE CLOGGED WITH SEDIMENT. IF CLOGGED FILTER FABRIC IS PRESENT, THE BED WILL NEED TO BE REBUILT. EASTERN BLUE STAR AMSONIA TABERNAEMONTANA CHASMANTHIUM LATIFOLIUM RIVER OATS CHASMANTHIUM LAXUM SLENDER WOODOATS HEUCHERA AMERICANA CORAL BELLS JUNCUS CORIACEUS LEATHERY RUSH JUNCUS EFFUSUS SOFT RUSH JUNCUS TENUIS PATH RUSH RAIN GARDEN PLANTING PLAN RECOMMENDATIONS PANICUM VIRGATUM AND CULTIVARS SWITCH GRASS PYCNANTHEMUM MUTICUM MOUNTAIN MINT SOLIDAGO CANADENSIS GOLDENROD SORGHASTRUM NUTANS LNDIANGRASS BIO RETENTION BASIN STAGE STORAGE TABLE ELEVATION, FT CONTOUR AREA, SQ FT STORAGE LEVEL 2315.0 980.3 2314.25 695.2 SURFACE STORAGE DEPTH 9 IN VOID RATIO 1.0 625.26 CU. FT. STORAGE VOLUME 695.2 2314.25 2313.25 695.2 695.2 2312.25 MEDIA STORAGE DEPTH 2.0 FT

VOID RATIO

STORAGE VOLUME

TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED

0.2

278.08 CU. FT.

903.34 CU FT

of **4** sheet:

TOWN OF LAUREL PARK AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Title of Item: Planning and Zoning Regional Board Workshop by UNC School of Government

Presenter: Kaitland Finkle, Interim Zoning Administrator

Attachment(s): Yes/No

Summary of Item:

The School of Government is hitting the road. In April and May, we will be in regional locations across the state to lead half-day workshops on legislative zoning decisions (rezoning, conditional zoning, zoning text amendments). These workshops will focus on the procedures and considerations for legislative land use decisions. The target audience is planning boards, governing boards, and the staff that serve them.

Suggested Action: Staff requests Planning Board members to review their schedule and let Town Clerk Amin know if they can attend.

Suggested Motion: N/A